| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/854,326 | PREZANT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Shin-Lin Chen | 1632 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Shin-Lin Chen*.

(2) *Seth Levy*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *1 July 2004*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed deleting the phrase "derived from" from claims 38 and 51 and applicants agreed to such amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

S Chen

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)